

1 J. Curtis Edmondson SBN 236105
2 Edmondson IP Law
3 15490 NW Oak Hills Dr
4 Beaverton OR 97006
5 Phone: (503) 336-3749
Email: jcedmondson@edmolaw.com
5 Attorney for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION**

11 JERRY A. SPOLAR, an individual;
12 and
13 TONNY JILL WILLIAMSON, an
individual.

15 Plaintiffs.

v.
DISCOVERY
COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, a
Delaware limited liability company;
UNREALISTIC IDEAS, LLC, a
Delaware limited liability company;
PIECE OF WORK PRODUCTIONS,
LLC, a California limited liability
company; ARCHIE GIPS, an
individual; WHITNEY BRAUN, an
individual; JAMES BRAUN, an
individual; and DOES 1 through 25,

AND FAILURE TO SERVE
Date: April 8, 2024
Time: NA
Place: COURTROOM 7A
FIRST STREET
COURTHOUSE
350 W 1st St,
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Case No.: CV 23-7633-JFW(MRWx)

**DECLARATION OF J. CURTIS
EDMONDSON IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO THE
COURT'S ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE RE: FRCP RULE 4(M)
AND FAILURE TO SERVE**

Date: April 8, 2024

Time: NA

Place: COURTROOM 7A
FIRST STREET
COURTHOUSE
350 W 1st St,
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Hon. John F. Walter
U.S. District Court Judge

I, J. Curtis Edmondson hereby declare, under the penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746, as follows:

1. I am attorney admitted to this Court and have practiced for eighteen

1 years. I am also licensed to practice before the USPTO, and licensed to practice in
2 Washington, Oregon, and the District of Columbia. My area of practice is primarily
3 intellectual property, both in preparation of applications, and litigation.

4 2. This case was filed on September 13, 2023. (See Dckt 1). I then filed
5 the documents regarding the Copyright filings on September 25, 2023. (Dckt 10).

6 3. In October, I started to prepare this case to have the clerk issue a
7 summons and have a process server issue the summons. At this time I was also
8 preparing for a trial, as court appointed *pro-bono* counsel¹ before the Honorable
9 Michael S. Simon in the matter of *Gines vs. Oregon Department of Corrections* (D.
10 OR 17-cv-00841) which was scheduled for a three day trial on November 28, 2023.
11 (See Dckt 111). This case was an eight amendment injury claim and the case had been
12 on the docket for over seven years. I was third counsel on the case and had to review
13 a significant amount of discovery that had done by prior counsel.

14 4. In this case, I then failed to have the clerk issue a summons to timely
15 serve the complaint on the Defendants. The reason for this omission was due to an
16 unexpected office fire that occurred on November 29, 2023 at my law office. See:

17 <https://www.koin.com/local/beaverton-commercial-fire-closes-highway-217-off-ramp-overnight/> (said Article attached as Ex. 1 hereto).

19 3. I attempted to get into my office suite shortly after the fire, but the Fire
20 Department did not allow anyone into the office building due to the suspected
21 existence of asbestos.

22 4. About a week after the fire, I was able to gain access to the building.
23 Half of the building had significant fire damage and the other half had significant

25 ¹ I took over as the then Pro Bono counsel of record, David Madden, passed
26 away suddenly and unexpectedly from cancer in 2023. Mr. Madden, a colleague
27 argued the seminal case of *Cobbler Nevada, LLC v. Gonzales* (9th Cir. 2018) 901
F.3d 1142.

1 water damage. My part of the building involved significant water damage. I needed
2 to move my paper files and have them dried. The computers needed to be dried
3 before turning on. The office move took approximately 3-4 weeks.

4 5. For my matters before the Copyright and Trademark office, I have these
5 items docketed in an IP docketing system called Dockettrak.

6 6. For litigation matters, I have them “paper docketed” using a docketing
7 system on my whiteboard. This case, due to the chaos of the fire and the move, did
8 not get redocketed, which was my mistake.

9 7. As with any office fire, significant amounts of time have been spent with
10 insurance companies, employees, etc. on the aftermath of the fire. Thankfully, there
11 was only a little water damage to my office contents due to the fire sprinklers going
12 off in the building. However, due to the urgent collection of boxes of files, filing
13 cabinets, printers, desks, desktop computers, the recent paperwork that was collected
14 became quite disorganized, and some deadlines that should have been docketed for
15 follow up were missed as a result of the fire.

16 8. I have a new office in Downtown Hillsboro, one block from the county
17 courthouse. I have hired a clerk to work with me to improve my docketing system
18 to avoid this problem in the future. I am moving all of my litigation matters into
19 DocketTrak with the litigation module to have a “two docketing” system.

20 9. The office fire was out of my control and serves as good cause for not
21 meeting the 90-day rule. I ask that the Court consider that this meet the good cause
22 standard under *Mann v. American Airlines* (2003) 324 F. 3d 1088, 1090. Currently
23 it is unclear when the last infringing act occurred, but under the abundance of caution,
24 I represent that relief may also needed in view of any “time bar” issues. *Id.* At 1091.
25 This issue of damages and the statute of limitations is currently before the U.S.
26 Supreme Court. *Nealy v. Warner Chappell Music, Inc* (11th Cir. 2023) 60 F.4th 1325
27 cert granted U.S. No. 22-1078.

1 10. Notwithstanding the above facts, the clients I represent are not
2 responsible for this error. FRCP Rule 4(m) is something controlled by the attorney,
3 not the client. So I am requesting that if sanctions are imposed for missing this
4 deadline, they should only be imposed on me in some form and not on my clients in
5 the form of a dismissal. I respectfully request that the Court not impose sanctions of
6 case dismissal.

7 11. Concurrently with this response, I have filed a request for issuance of
8 summons with the clerk. I will have the summons and complaint (and associated
9 papers) served within 14 days of the clerk issuing the endorsed summons. I have not
10 requested any extension on this deadline previously.

11 12. I respectfully ask the Court that the least amount of sanctions be
12 imposed, ideally no sanctions. I have gotten the message, and I apologize. I will
13 docket all future events and will be respectful of this Court's time in the future.
14

15 Date: April 7, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,

16

17

By:/s/ J. Curtis Edmondson

18

J. Curtis Edmondson

19

Attorney for Plaintiffs

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28